Applicant: Perry A. Caro et Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-303001 / P279

Serial No.: 09/360,399 Filed : July 23, 1999

Page : 11 of 11

## **REMARKS**

The applicant has amended claim 1 as discussed in the interview with the examiner on March 30, 2004.

The interview included a discussion of the distinctions of the claimed invention compared with Ferrel's method. The examiner agreed that claims including the amendments now made would likely be patentable.

The applicant asks that all claims be allowed.

The fact that the applicant has addressed certain comments of the examiner does not mean that the applicant concedes any positions of the examiner. The fact that the applicant has asserted certain grounds for the patentability of a claim does not mean that there are not other good grounds for patentability of that claim or other claims.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 Telephone: (617) 542-5070

Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20834509.doc

David L. Feigenbaum Reg. No. 30,378

Respectfully submitted,